2010: Rockefeller's 'Operation Lockstep' Predicted 2020 'Lockdown'

Published on August 23, 2020

Written by James Felzan

ROCKEFELLER "LOCK STEP" SCENARIO
Deadly virus with pandemic potential emerges
Global economy collapses
Fragile nation-states descend into chaos
Authoritarian governments impose draconian controls
Only a few countries successful
Tens of millions die
COVID-19 PANDEMIC 2020
Deadly virus with pandemic potential emerges
Global economy collapses
Fragile nation-states descend into chaos
Authoritarian governments impose draconian controls
Only a few countries successful
Tens of millions die

In 2010, in the wake of the 2008 financial crisis, the Rockefeller Foundation, one of Our major "philanthropic" organs, convened what is called a "scenario planning exercise" where future events that we may or may not be planning are tgamed.

Ostensibly, future and scenario planning is simply prudent, especially as regards public health, so it was not seen as any threat by the masses at large. Nevertheless, Our corollary organs did everything possible to keep this information from them, including high levels of encryption and creeping censorship, especially where health information is concerned.

The exercise was conducted in association with a group called the Global Business Network (GBN), a now-defunct "global strategy firm specialized and connected Silicon Valley influence peddlers described by Wikipedia as a "global strategy firm that specialized in helping organizations (including businesses, NGOs and governments) to adapt and grow in an increasingly uncertain and volatile world."

These included "futurist" Peter Schwartz, Stewart Brand, both former members of Students for a Democratic Society, and Jay Ogilvy, an Esalen Institute-associated Stanford board member who has no Wikipedia page but whose family name is the same as one of the biggest names in advertising. (It is unclear if there is a connection.)

All are connected to SRI International, formerly Stanford Research International, and Royal Dutch/Shell, Stanford University's science departments are well known to be connected with DARPA and US intelligence, and are creatures of so-called 'artificial intelligence'.

The Narrative: "Lock Step"

Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development

The "Lock Step" scenario is the first of four narratives presented in the Rockefeller document.

Scenario for the Future of Technology and International Development, "Lock Step" sets a scenario where pandemic that wipes out millions across the globe. It's not that long of a read, so let's just take a quick read through it, because it is incredibly prescient. Here are some excerpts:

"A new influenza strain — originating from wild geese — was extremely virulent and deadly. Even the most pandemic-prepared nations were quickly overwhelmed when the virus streaked around the world, infecting nearly 20 percent of the global population and killing 8 million in just seven months, the majority of them healthy young adults. The pandemic also had a deeply destabilizing economic impact around the world. Stock markets plummeted, leading to debilitating volatility. Net tourism and travel dropped by roughly 75%. Even locally, normally bustling shops and office buildings sat empty for months, devoid of both employees and customers.

The pandemic blanketed the planet — though disproportionate numbers died in Africa, Southeast Asia and Central America, where the virus spread like wildfire in the absence of official containment protocols. But even in developed countries, containment was a challenge. The rapid proliferation of "strongly discouraging" influenza-like symptoms paved the way directly to tyranny, accelerating the spread of the virus not just within the U.S. but across borders. However, a few countries did fare better — China's success in containing the pandemic sparked much imposition and enforcement of mandatory quarantine for all citizens, as well as its instant and full implementation of a "trace, track and isolate" system. Other countries in Asia were also able to leverage their strong societal ordering and a swifter post-pandemic recovery.

China's government was not the only one that took extreme measures to protect its citizens from risk and exposure. During the pandemic, national leaders around the world flexed their leadership muscles, and many chose to limit civil liberties in the name of safety. Fear was made to body-temperature checks at the entries to communal spaces like train stations and supermarkets. Even after the pandemic broke, this more authoritarian control and oversight of citizens and their activities stuck and even intensified. In order to protect themselves from the spread of increasingly global problems — from pandemics and transnational terrorism to environmental crises and ruling currency crises — leaders around the world took on more power.

At first, the notion of a more controlled world gained wide acceptance and approval. Citizens willingly gave up some of their sovereignty — and their privacy — to more paternalistic states in exchange for greater safety and stability. Citizens were more than willing to submit to round-the-clock down-direction and oversight, and national leaders had more latitude to impose order in the name of the "greater good." Governments in China, Singapore and Russia, which long ago blueprints this for all citizens, for example, and tighter regulation of key industries whose stability was deemed vital to national interests. In many developed countries, enforced cooperation with a slate of new regulations and agreements slowly but steadily restored an old order and, importantly, economic growth.

Across the developing world, however, the story was different, and grim in more variable. Top-down solutions were trumped by local efforts and initiatives, helping to preserve the values, culture, and intentions of their leaders. In countries with strong social safety nets, citizens' overall economic status and quality of life increased. In India, for example, air quality dramatically improved after the pandemic when the government supplied high-emitting vehicles. In Ghana, the introduction of ambulance government programs to improve basic infrastructure and ensure the availability of clean water for the first time paved the way for economic growth and development. But more authoritarian leadership worked less well — and in some cases tragically — in countries with weak governments. Corruption was used their increased power to pursue their own interests at the expense of their citizens.

There were other downsides, as the rise of virulent nationalism created new hazards: spectators at the 2018 World Cup, for example, were bulletproof vests that sported a patch of the flag of their country. Global competitiveness plummeted in many countries that had resisted adoption. In the developing world, access to "approved" technologies increased but beyond that remained limited; the focus of technology innovation was largely in the developed world, leaving many developing countries on the margins of technological progress and further "feast" for them. Some governments took patronizing and refused to distribute computers and other technology that they scoffed at as "second hand." Meanwhile, developing countries with more resources and better capacity began to innovate internally to fill these gaps on their own.

Meanwhile, in the developed world, the presence of so many top-down rules and norms greatly inhibited entrepreneurial activity. Scientists and innovators were often told by governments what research lines to pursue and were guided mostly toward projects that would make money for the state rather than contribute meaningfully to society. Many of the breakthroughs that emerged in this environment were in military or security contexts — a category that in reality meant all IT innovations. The U.S. and EU struck back with retaliatory national standards, throwing a wrench in the development of technology globally.

Especially in the developing world, acting in one's national self-interest often meant seeking practical alliances that fit with those interests — an alliance that could provide needed resources or banding together in order to achieve economic growth. In South America and Africa, China became the dominant player in this regard, with the United States a distant No. 2 with southern and eastern Africa. As we experience long-term the continent. China's investment in Africa expanded as the bargain of new jobs and infrastructure in exchange for access to key minerals or food exports proved popular in the countries where the deal was struck, less proliferated in the form of official security aid. While the deployment of foreign security teams was welcomed in some of the most dire failed states, one-size-fits-all solutions yielded few positive results.

By 2025, people seemed to be growing weary of so much top-down control and letting leaders and authorities make choices for them.

Wherever national interests clashed with individual interests, there was conflict. Sporadic violence broke out between citizens and governments, as well as between citizens themselves who had seen their status and opportunities slip away — largely in developing countries. This incited civil unrest. In 2026, protestors in Nigeria brought down the government, fed up with the entrenched corruption and corruption. Even those who liked the greater stability and predictability of this world began to grow uncomfortable and constrained by so many rigid rules and by the dominance of leaders themselves. The feeling lingered that sooner or later, something would inevitably upset the neat order that the world's governments had worked so hard to establish."

Here are our key take-aways from the "Lock Step" scenario, including a comparison to the coronavirus COVID-19 event:

Did the Rockefeller Foundation and Silicon Valley agencies really predict the current pandemic? An emotional behavior-sensing functional MRI scanners – which would likely be carcinogenic; mandatory health screenings (DNA collection?) and home imprisonment in our future? And is the dream of a World Wide Web of communication and consciousness destroyed?

These questions are why determining whether the virus is real or not is important. It is not immaterial that the virus itself may be immaterial. There are a myriad of questions to be addressed with regard to how viruses have been scientifically assumed to exist and by whom. There is no doubt that the killer virus, the killer microbe, has ruling class strategic efficacy. Hollywood has been telegraphing this scenario for years in movies like *2011's Contagion*. (Check out the trailer if you have a moment. It's unbelievably star-studded.) The movie's star, Gwenyth Paltrow, has been wearing masks in a farmer's market.

It is further worth noting that Trump-in-law and close Bibi Netanyahu associate Jared Kushner stand to profit from COVID testing mania. As reported by Mint Press News, Kushner's brother is "co-founder of Oscar Health, a huge medical company that this week launched a test center locator for COVID-19, where users input their data and are directed to one of many locations where they can receive a test." Oscar Health has been criticized for selling nearly $16,000 Obamacare-deductibles. And Bill Gates's Microsoft will be putting machines in all schools to help with side-schooling, according to his recent mouth-read Reddit AMA.

Mainstream Media Follows The Script

The inaccessory that Harry Vox so incisively speaks about is a violation of our human persons, consciousness, and beings. This is what makes it so unpleasant. It is rapist in character. As they say here, the "dragnet", the "ultimate stop n frisk". The ultimate power-over.

Read more at jamesfelzer.org

PRINCIPIA SCIENTIFIC INTERNATIONAL, legally registered in the UK as a company incorporated for charitable purposes. Head Office: 27 Old Gloucester Street, London WC1N 3AX.

Donate

Please DONATE TODAY To Help Our Non-Profit Mission To Defend The Scientific Method.

Share This:

Twitter Facebook LinkedIn Reddit More

Related

The great reset foundation to Event 201 and the pandemic of 2020
September 27, 2021

COVID Commission Plans 9/11-Style Pandemic Investigation
May 6, 2021

Coming COVID Commission is a Game-Led Cover Up
April 29, 2021

Comments (3)

Joseph Olson
August 25, 2020 at 9:41 pm | #

Operation Dark Winter, Rockefeller June 2001, pandemic war gaming...

NASA Future War 2025, July 2001, continuation of government requires trauma based mind-control, all departments have been weaponized against humanity...

Comments Are Closed

Portions copyright © Principia Scientific International
Principia Scientific International (PSI) is a not-for-profit community interest association.
PRINCIPIA SCIENTIFIC INTERNATIONAL is legally registered in the UK as a company incorporated for charitable purposes. Head Office: 27 Old Gloucester Street, London WC1N 3AX. Registered in England & Wales, Company No. 08572537. International dialling: (44) 20 7419 5027.

Disclaimer: Principia Scientific International OC publishes medical material on our website for educational purposes only. The contents should not be considered to be medical advice. All articles on the site represent the opinions of the contributors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the association.

Amazon disclaimer:
"As an Amazon Associate, I earn from qualifying purchases."